
Airport Guantànamo

e-privacy 2010 – Palazzo Vecchio – Firenze 28 e 29 maggio 2010

Alberto Cammozzo

cammozzo.com




Materiale rilasciato sotto licenza Creative Commons BY-NC-SA

Hey, Google!

Delete that StreetView WiFi data NOW!

'Uncertainty' as govs mull probes



Hey, hang on a minute...

Today, in a statement sent to The Reg, Google said that it deleted data collected in Ireland, Austria, and Denmark, after data protection authorities in those countries requested its deletion.

It also said that it's keeping data from **Belgium**, **France**, **Italy**, **Spain**, Germany, Switzerland, and the Czech Republic, after those countries requested it be kept. And it has now decided to keep all remaining data as well.

"Given that there is some uncertainty about deletion generally, for example one DPA [data protection authority] changed its instruction from delete to retain in the last 24 hours, we think it makes sense to keep the remaining country data while we work through these issues," the statement reads.

Still no 'safe harbour' in sight for wireless technologies in Europe

Berlin, May 27th 2010 -- Following a recent high court judgement in Germany wireless networks are becoming a legal concern for consumers running open WiFi hotspots. Lawyers and lobby organisations are sending automated cease and desist letters to consumers who have an "insecure" WiFi access point, accusing them of helping unauthorized file sharing by offering open WiFi internet connections.

[ffii.org] Foundation for a Free Information Infrastructure



Travel White Papers

Magazines

Books

Online Store

Home :: Military :: Facilities :: Port :: Guantanamo Bay :: Camp X-Ray ::

ENTER SEARCH TERM

SEARCH

Forum

Images

Military Menu

WMD

Intelligence

Homeland Security

Space

Public Eye

MILITARY

Guantanamo Bay - Camp X-Ray

















Related Facilities

- Guantanamo Bay CU
- Camp X-Ray
- Camp X-Ray Pictures
- Camp Delta
- Camp Delta Pictures

Units

- Joint Task Force GTMO
- Joint Task Force 160
- Joint Task Force 170

References

Official Homepage

Camp X-Ray







ATTENTION

Making any jokes or statements regarding bombs, and/or threats during the screening process may be grounds for both criminal and civil penalties.

All such matters will be taken seriously. We thank you for your restraint in this matter.







CAMP DELTA

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HEADQUARTERS, JOINT TASK FORCE-GUANTANAMO GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA APO AE 09360

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

JTF-GTMO-CG

27 March 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR ALL PERSONNEL

SUBJECT: Approval of Camp Delta Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)

- 1. The Camp Delta Standard Operating Procedures (SOP), dated 28 February 2003, is approved for immediate implementation. Commanders and Directors are responsible for briefing their personnel on the operating procedures contained in this SOP. Failure to comply with this prohibitions and mandatory requirements of this SOP by military personnel is a violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice. Violations by civilian employees may result in administrative or disciplinary action.
- 2. The point of contact for this matter is the Commander, Joint Detention Operations Group (JDOG).

COFNEY D. MILLER Major General, U.S. Army

Commanding



http://www.esf.edu/EFB/turner/termite/stigmergicbuilding.html





U.S. Department of Justice

Office of Legal Counsel

Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

August 1, 2002

Memorandum for Alberto R. Gonzales Counsel to the President

Re: Standards of Conduct for Interrogation under 18 U.S.C. §§ 2340-2340A

You have asked for our Office's views regarding the standards of conduct under the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment as implemented by Sections 2340–2340A of title 18 of the United States Code. As we understand it, this question has arisen in the context of the conduct of interrogations outside of the United States. We conclude below that Section 2340A proscribes acts inflicting, and that are specifically intended to inflict, severe pain or suffering, whether mental or physical. Those acts must be of an extreme nature to rise to the level of torture within the meaning of Section 2340A and the Convention. We further conclude that certain acts may be cruel, inhuman, or degrading, but still not produce pain and suffering of the requisite intensity to fall within Section 2340A's proscription against torture. We conclude by examining possible defenses that would negate any claim that

📄 🔬 - | 🦃 🛖 👆 5 / 50 📵 🖲 150% - 😸 🚱 Find

B. "Severe Pain or Suffering"

The key statutory phrase in the definition of torture is the statement that acts amount to torture if they cause "severe physical or mental pain or suffering." In examining the meaning of a statute, its text must be the starting point. See INS v. Phinpathya, 464 U.S. 183, 189 (1984) ("This Court has noted on numerous occasions that in all cases involving statutory construction, our starting point must be the language employed by Congress, . . . and we assume that the legislative purpose is expressed by the ordinary meaning of the words used.") (internal quotations and citations omitted). Section 2340 makes plain that the infliction of pain or suffering per se, whether it is physical or mental, is insufficient to amount to torture. Instead, the text provides that pain or suffering must be "severe." The statute does not, however, define the term "severe." "In the absence of such a definition, we construe a statutory term in accordance with its ordinary or natural meaning." FDIC v. Meyer, 510 U.S. 471, 476 (1994). The dictionary defines "severe" as "[u]nsparing in exaction, punishment, or censure" or "[I]nflicting discomfort or pain hard to endure; sharp; afflictive; distressing; violent; extreme; as severe pain, anguish, torture." Webster's New International Dictionary 2295 (2d ed. 1935); see American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language 1653 (3d ed. 1992) ("extremely violent or grievous: severe pain") (emphasis in original); IX The Oxford English Dictionary 572 (1978) ("Of pain, suffering, loss, or the like: Grievous, extreme" and "of circumstances . . .: hard to sustain or endure"). Thus, the adjective "severe" conveys that the pain or suffering must be of such a high level of intensity that the pain is difficult for the subject to endure.

Congress's use of the phrase "severe pain" elsewhere in the United States Code can shed more light on its meaning. See, e.g., West Va. Univ. Hosps., Inc. v. Casey, 499

AUTHORIZED/UNAUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES ¹					
	LEVEL - 1	LEVEL - 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL - 4	LEVEL - 5 INTEL
Detainee activities authorized as a reward for positive behavior					As determined by JIG ³
Reading Koran	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Reading Other Aythorized Material	YES	YES	YES	NO	Note 4
Eating at Authorized Meal Times	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Allowed To Save MRE to Eat Later ²	YES	NO	NO	NO	Note 4
Performing Prayers	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Authorized Playing Cards	YES	YES	NO	NO	Note 4
Authorized Other Games	YES	NO	NO	NO	Note 4
Authorized Soccer Ball In Recreation Yard	YES	YES	NO	NO	Note 4
Talking With Detainees in Adjacent Cells Without Yelling	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Talking With Detainees in Adjacent Recreation Yard Without Yelling	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Performing Personal Hygiene In Cell With	TES	TES	TES	TES	TES
Authorized/Issued Items	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Showers Authorized Per Week	3	2	2	2	2
Performing Approved Exercises in Cell	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Authorized Periods in Recreation Yard Per Week	TES	TES	11.5	TES	TES
(20 minutes each period)	3	2	2	2	2
Drying Clothing/Towels/Washcloths by Hanging In Cell Window. Must Not Block View and Must Be	VEC	VEC	VEC	VEC	VEC
Removed When Dry.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Sheets or blankets may be used for privacy while a detainee is using the toilet. It may not however be tied more than half way up the cell height.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Hanging of Towel in Cell to block Sun or Light at	NO	NO	NO	NO	Note 4
Night When Sleeping Wear Prayer Cap On Head. Towel May Be Worn On Head if Prayer Cap Not Available. Towel Will Be Removed if Detainee is Removed From Cell.	NO YES	NO YES	NO YES	NO NO	Note 4
Hanging of Koran in Surgical Mask On Side or Rear of Cell. Detainee Provided a Surgical Mask if Needed.	YES	YES	YES	NO	Note 4
Removal of Shirt Due to Heat or Cleaning. Shirt Will Be On When Detainee is Removed From His	VEQ	VEC	VEC	VEO	VEC
Cell.	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Blanket Exchange Monthly	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Mirror In Shower For Shaving	YES	YES	NO	NO	Note 4
Cold Water (After/During Recreation)	YES	NO	NO	NO	Note 4

Note 1: This figure indicates the maximum/minimum activites authorized, based on the classification level of the detainee. In individual cases, activities not authorized for a detainee can be requested, using Form 508-1, on behalf of that detainee for exhibiting extraordinarily positive behavior (such as assisting guards during a disturbance). All such requests must be approved by the JDOG S3.

Note 2: If authorized based on level, detainee is allowed to keep UNOPENED MRE items after meal time, but must consume within 24 hours.

Note 3: The JIG Commander determines activities above the minimum level; however, at no time will Level 5 detainees receive less than Level 4 detainees, unless requested by JIG Commander and approved by CJTF or his designee.

Note 4: Detained housed in Level 5 blocks will have vaning degrees of Authorized Activities, which are determined by the JIC Commander. Each Level 5

darren abate | photojournalist

Fueling the fire...





On the way to NAB

There is something about airports that compels me to write. I'm penning this as I sit at Gate 33, at San Antonio International, waiting to board my flight to Vegas.

I'm not sure what it is; maybe it's the forced attention paid to so many people's lives at once that sends my mind into some inquisitive state, making me want to pay attention to everyone around me. I want to know their stories, and wonder where they're going.

We're all going somewhere, but only at airports do you find so many people all going somewhere at the same time, and thanks to the diligent folks in homeland security, they are *all* cranky.

I don't fly often, but at least this time I wised up and wore sandals through the security line instead of the hook and loop hiking boots that made me so angry the last time I had to partially disrobe in public, feeling awkward, the same way a grade-school student feels when he has to drop his pants so the school nurse can look at his skinned knee. Hey, look at me! I'm standing in my socks, and now I have to take off my belt as well!

Airport security could potentially be the exhibitionist's wet dream. Hell, if you like to put on a show AND have a ring in your cock, and you have never taken a commercial flight, get off your ass and down to the airport. I'm sure the ever-diligent TSA screeners will show no mercy as they make you drop trou and free Prince Albert.

I'm not trying to pee all over the airport security peeps, but let's be honest and admit that any high school student with a slight gift for logistics could design a better-flowing system than what is in place now.

Oops, i accidentally brought my BIG bottle of saline solution, so now I have to check my bag so the TSA can go through my shit. I should have hidden a dog turd in one of my shoes; there was a fresh one in my back yard today. I named it Steamy.

I have strayed far from my original point. That doesn't matter, though, because I may have forgotten it anyway. I'm in Phoenix now, at Skyharbor airport, waiting to board my connecting flight to Vegas. I forgot to mention my reason for traveling, and that is to attend the NAB (National Association of Broadcasters) convention in Las Vegas. NAB is the largest broadcasting trade show on the planet, but that has never stopped me from not going. This is my first time at NAB, and I'm really excited. The trade show is great, but I'm more interested right now in the industry parties. Sunday night, it will be Newtek, followed by Avid, and then on Monday nigh it's Panasonic. I think we're scheduled to



16 readers

facebook



×

Name: Darren Abate

Events: None

Websites: http://pressphoto intl.com http://twitter.com /peakaction

My online resume

GOOGLE PROFILE

Darren Abate at Google

WORDS TO REMEMBER, ALWAYS ...

"The minute God crapped out the third caveman, a conspiracy was hatched against one of them." --Hunter, from The Venture Bros.

DARREN TWEETS!


Just be aware that EP-2 autofocus is much slower than Pan #GF1 RT @photojack: RT @DanKorzeniewski: #365 11 hours ago

@photojack #Togs, just remember that you get what you pay for when it comes to optics and NR. 17 hours ago

@PhilipBloom Which intervalometer are you using with your #GF1? I'd like to get one for mine. #togs 18 hours ago

@photojack Look no further than the #Panasonic #Lumix #GF1 I use one and I adore it. Micro 4/3 is the way to go for a small camera. #togs 18 hours ago 15 Apr 07

violet blue * :: open source sex



Violet Blue is a Forbes "Web Celeb", notorious blogger (Laughing Squid), high-profile tech personality and one of Wired's

"Faces of Innovation." She is regarded as the foremost expert in the field of sex and technology, a sex-positive pundit in mainstream media (CNN, The Oprah Winfrey Show) and is interviewed, quoted and featured prominently by major media outlets. Violet has many awardwinning, best selling books and is the sex columnist for the San Francisco Chronicle. She headlines at conferences ranging from ETech, LeWeb and SXSW: Interactive, to Google Tech Talks at Google, Inc.

"Violet Blue is omnipresent on the Web." –Forbes

"America's leading (very) public intellectual sexologist, Violet Blue." -The Institute for Ethics and Emerging Technologies

"Violet Blue is the leading sex educator for the Internet generation." -Webnation

accurate sex info

:: erotica :: howto's :: safer sex guide :: suck :: lick :: rim

tsa porn

SEPTEMBER 4, 2006

On wednesday, I will walk up to one end of a long line of men. Sometimes there are women, but it's always mostly men. They are there to watch me, and I am there to be watched. I start at one end, smile at the first man I encounter, and begin. Slowly. Carefully, I take off my glasses and fold them neatly, just like my nighttime bedroom ritual. Then I lean over and unzip one long black platform boot, and then the other. I present each piece of footwear as proof as if the sudden shortness in my height, and its message of vulnerability isn't evidence enough. I am now smaller, more feminine, and a little more helpless. I take off my earrings, my necklace, deliberately placing the girlish silver with my glasses. I'm usually still smiling now, because it's time to take off my belt. I know what's going to happen. I unbuckle the metal and leather, sliding the belt through its loops around my waist, which serves to loosen my pants and move the denim to and fro as I work the belt free. The top straps of my g-string always peek out; I can't help this. I unzip my hoodie and peel it off, revealing the light cotton tank top I always wear. And even though it makes no sense, I always take off my stripey arm warmers, because if I don't, they *make me* take them off. So I do it in a subtly slow demonstration, one opera-length glovelet at a time. Next, and last, I unclip my hair, letting my almost waist-length black and blonde locks down over my now-bare shoulders and arms.

They all watch. Then I wait for their commands, and their approval. I do what they say, unconditionally, and this is an unspoken agreement between me and the men. Hardly a word is said, and I make sure to smile as I softly pad past all eyes, which are on me, even if just for a flicker or two. Then at the end of the line, I slowly dress — I like to take my time putting my clothes back on.

My favorite part of the security screenings at airports, while stripping for strangers in a nonsexual power-exchange context is undeniably erotic, is the fact that my laptop moves with me the entire time. On my iBook, a big sticker reads "Don't Get Caught." So they watch me a little more.

I'm going to Seattle on wednesday for a few days, to attend the friday night screening of HUMP! and for a long-awaited Shibari session with Twisted Monk, who will tie me up, hang me from a winch and photos will be taken. Of course I'm thinking about this Boing Boing post











Search ErosBlog:

type here, hit enter

Fifteen Sex Blogs:

Tiny Nibbles
Mistress Matisse
Spanking Blog
Susie Bright
Bondage Blog
MonMouth
Bitchy Jones's Diary
Always Aroused Girl
Sexoteric
One Track Mind
Vintage Lust
Viviane's Sex Carnival
Spanking Bethle
BJ's Land
The Spanking Writers

Random Old Post:

Grabbed Girl

I have a boundless appreciation for the lurid cover art from the old detective and adventure magazines: This grabbed girl is from the cover of an old Private Detective magazine, from Faustling's photos on Flickr.



Archives:



Sex Blogging, Gratuitous Nudity, Kinky Sex, Sundry Sensuality



Home » Public Submission Ritual

September 5th, 2006 -- by Bacchus

Public Submission Ritual

Wow, is this kinky or what?

On wednesday, I will walk up to one end of a long line of men. Sometimes there are women, but it's always mostly men. They are there to watch me, and I am there to be watched. I start at one end, smile at the first man I encounter, and begin. Slowly. Carefully, I take off my glasses and fold them neatly, just like my nighttime bedroom ritual. Then I lean over and unzip one long black platform boot, and then the other. I present each piece of footwear as proof — as if the sudden shortness in my height, and its message of vulnerability isn't evidence enough. I am now smaller, more feminine, and a little more helpless. I take off my earrings, my necklace, deliberately placing the girlish silver with my glasses. I'm usually still smiling now, because it's time to take off my belt. I know what's going to happen. I unbuckle the metal and leather, sliding the belt through its loops around my waist, which serves to loosen my pants and move the denim to and fro as I work the belt free. The top straps of my g-string always peek out; I can't help this. I unzip my hoodie and peel it off, revealing the light cotton tank top I always wear. And even though it makes no sense, I always take off my stripey arm warmers, because if I don't, they *make me* take them off. So I do it in a subtly slow demonstration, one opera-length glovelet at a time. Next, and last, I unclip my hair, letting my almost waist-length black and blonde locks down over my now-bare shoulders and arms.

They all watch. Then I wait for their commands, and their approval. I d what they say, unconditionally, and this is an unspoken agreement between me and the men. Hardly a word is said, and I make sure to smile as I softly pad past all eyes, which are on me, even if just for a flicker or two. Then at the end of the line, I slowly dress — I like to take my time putting my clothes back on.

That's Violet Blue — well, anybody, really — going through airport security. As she explains:

[W]hat I related to you above is very much my experience when I go through security.... [W]hen you think about it, the modern process of going through pre-boarding security has far more kinky sexual elements than it should. Here's why:

* You have to undress.

* While you undress, you are being watched and sized up.

* It's a power-exchange scenario.

* Lots of uniforms.

they want to.

You are totally vulnerable, and it is humiliating.

* You are exposing intimate details of your person and dress in front of dozens of strangers.

* Your submission is unspoken, it is a rule, and it is unconditional. Your submission is for public consumption.

* There is a constant threat that a stranger will touch you. They can touch you anywhere, and in your most intimate places if

 There is an undercurrent and tension that they will open your posessions and touch your private items, such as your underwear, clean or dirty.

* It is nonconsensual. And in garden-variety BDSM practice, even this is forbidden territory.

Looking You Over, With a Shameless Gaze


By JOE SHARKEY Published: April 13, 2009

LOTS of e-mail messages followed last week's column on the proposal by the Transportation Security Administration to phase in whole-body imaging machines to replace airport checkpoint metal detectors.



Few backed the agency's assertion that the whole-body imagers - the kind that provide an image of the naked body - would be welcomed by the public.

passed through the metal detectors.



SIGN IN TO

TWITTER

SIGN IN TO

E-MAIL

A PRINT

RECOMMEND

Related

Frequent Flier: A Little Turbulence One Seat Over (April 14, 2009) More Hotels Facing an Uncertain Future (April 14, 2009)

Originally, whole-body imaging was proposed as an alternative for the relatively few passengers chosen for secondary screening, allowing them to opt for a machine

lookover rather than a physical pat-down. Now that the agency is proposing to use the imaging as the primary

security system, a lot more people seem to be paying attention to the implications.

Laura Holmes Jost, of Miami Beach, is one. Ms. Jost and her husband own a real estate investment company and fly at least once a week. In an interview last week, she told me that she knew the machines were being tested, and she vowed to stay away from them.

Unwittingly, though, she recently ended up in one at the Miami airport.

"I was stressed out and in a hurry," she said, when a female screener directed her to one of the boothlike machines. Ms. Jost said she assumed it was another kind of machine, one of those so-called puffer devices that check for explosives traces.

"When I figured it out, I really felt violated and mad at myself," she said. "I'd been trying to avoid these machines, and I literally walked into one without knowing it. I really did not connect the dots until later when I was sitting on the plane, and I said, oh my God, that was one of those strip search machines."

Here is how she described the process:





Politics E-Mail



MOST POPULAR - BUSINESS E-MAILED BLOGGED

1. Your Money: For Financial Advice, Arriving at the Right Dosage

- 2. JPMorgan Chase Earns \$11.7 Billion
- 3. New Ships Idle, Waiting for Cargo to Fill Them
- 4. Executive Leaps to Leno's Defense
- 5. Corner Office: On a Scale of 1 to 10, How Weird Are

13 Apr 09

NEWS

IN LIVE BBC NEWS CHANNEL



News Front Page



Africa

Americas

Asia-Pacific

Europe

Middle East

South Asia

UK

England

Northern Ireland

Scotland

Wales

UK Politics

Education

Magazine

Business

Health

Science & Environment

Technology

Entertainment

Also in the news

Video and Audio

Programmes

Have Your Say

In Pictures

Country Profiles

Special Reports

Related BBC sites

Sport Weather

On This Day

Editors' Blog

BBC World Service

Page last updated at 17:02 GMT, Sunday, 18 October 2009 18:02 UK

E-mail this to a friend

Printable version

Airport stops scans on children

Security staff at Manchester Airport have been banned from using new "see-through" security scanners on passengers aged under 18.

The full-body scans, unveiled earlier this month in Terminal 2. are aimed at revealing hidden weapons or explosives, but also show people's bodies.

A civil rights group believes the scans could breach laws protecting children

The scans have been temporarily stopped for young people while legal advice is sought, said the airport.



The scanners have been banned for use on children until the law is clarified

Action on Rights for Childen (Arch) claim the Rapiscan equipment could break the Protection of Children Act 1978, under which it is illegal to create an indecent image of a child.

A spokesman for Manchester Airport said it was a "grey area" but until the law was clarified under those aged under 18 would face only conventional security checks.

This is an issue of law and like everyone Manchester Airport must obey that law

Terri Dowty, director of Arch

The spokeswoman added: "We certainly aren't going to break any laws in the process of trying to improve the experience of security at Manchester Airport with a voluntary trial.

"If these experts tell us that there might be a problem then we'll work with them to establish a definitive position."

The images produced by the scanners are destroyed straight away, says the airport.

Terri Dowty, director of Arch, said airport bosses responded immediately when the group raised its concerns.

SEE ALSO

- 'Naked' scanner in airport trial 13 Oct 09 | UK
- How revealing is an X-ray scanner? 30 Mar 04 | Magazine
- Flyers scan their own passports 09 Sep 09 | Bristol
- Will airport restrictions continue? 08 Sep 09 | UK
- Staff 'spied on' by scanner claim 16 Jul 09 | Oxfordshire
- Passengers test new face scanners 12 May 09 | Essex

RELATED INTERNET LINKS

- Action on Rights for Children
- Manchester Airport
- RapiScan Systems
- Department for Transport

The BBC is not responsible for the content of external internet sites

TOP MANCHESTER STORIES

- Child death accused pair in court
- Man found dead after house fire
- Aquarium's star makes sharp exit

News feeds

MOST POPULAR STORIES NOW

SHARED READ WATCHED/LISTENED

- Security fears in quake-hit Haiti
- 2 How Bin Laden may look, aged 52
- 3 Stars tie for best actress award
- 4 Yahoo criticised for China stance
- 5 BBC News In pictures: Haiti misery goes on

6 Lady Gaga 'collapses' before gig

13 Ott 09


E/CN.4/2006/120 page 15

to the Convention and ICCPR made by the United States, indicating that it considers itself bound by the prohibition of cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment only to the extent that it means the cruel, unusual and inhumane treatment or punishment prohibited by the Fifth, Eighth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. In this regard, he would like to recall the concerns of the relevant treaty bodies, which deplored the failure of the United States to include a crime of torture consistent with the Convention definition in its domestic legislation and the broadness of the reservations made by the United States. 48

A. Lack of clarity/confusing rules

- Beginning in 2001, the Administration of the United States, while officially reiterating its adherence to the absolute prohibition of torture, ⁴⁹ has put in place a number of policies that effectively weaken the prohibition. A concrete example is the memorandum of 1 August 2002 from Jay S. Bybee, then Assistant Attorney-General for the Office of Legal Council at the Department of Justice and now federal judge, to Alberto Gonzales, then Counsel to the President of the United States and now Attorney-General, which attempts to significantly narrow the definition of torture and claims that the necessity of self-defence can justify violations of the law prohibiting the use of torture. ⁵⁰ The Special Rapporteur notes that, as indicated in the response by the United States Government to the questionnaire of 21 October 2005, this memorandum was superseded by a Department of Justice memorandum dated 30 December 2004.
- 47. However, several subsequent internal Department of Defense memoranda have sought to widen the boundaries of what is permissible in terms of "counter-resistance techniques" (see also section B below). On 16 April 2003, a memorandum was issued, authorizing 24 specific techniques. Its introduction states that "US Armed Forces shall continue to treat detainees

Z



fleshmoob

Videos

Home

Search

Create Account or Sign In

Subscriptions History

Die Piratenpartei Berlin bei ihrer Aktion gegen die neuerdings als "Körperscanner" verharmlosten Eingriffe in unsere Intimsphäre für ein kleines Mehr an Scheinsicherheit! - The German Pirate Party...

Upload

Subscribe

"Fleshmob" gegen Nacktscanner, Berlin-Tegel, 10.01.2010

Channels





► More From: Summoning66

Summoning66

January 10, 2010 (more info)

Related Videos

★★★★ 207 ratings

87,903 views

♥ Favorite → Share + Playlists ► Flag

Facebook Live Spaces MySpace

(more share options)

Statistics & Data

▶ Video Responses (0) Sign in to post a Video Response

7 Text Comments (162) Options Sign in to post a Comment

annahathis1 (17 hours ago)

@aX3kiLL3r - HAHAHA - anal & vaginal probes - this could be possible because the scanners at the moment cannot see what has been stuffed up our orifces. So although they can see what has been strapped to a body, what you have stuffed up your asshole cannot be seen!! So you are probably right !!!

10 Gen 10



Reply



Muse *Uprising*

They will not force us

They will stop degrading us

They will not control us

We will be victorious



navigation

- Main page
- Contents
- Featured content
- Current events
- Random article

search

Go Search

interaction

- About Wikipedia
- Community portal
- Recent changes
- Contact Wikipedia
- Donate to Wikipedia
- Help

toolbox

- What links here
- Related changes
- Upload file
- Special pages
- Printable version
- Permanent link
- Cite this page

languages

- العربية ■
- বাংলা
- Deutsch

Mehran Karimi Nasseri

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

discussion

Mehran Karimi Nasseri (מאַרוֹט לע, איס יוֹסענא pronounced [meĥ'rɔ:n kiæriːˈmiː nɔːseˈriː]; born 1942), also known as Sir, Alfred Mehran (including the comma), is an Iranian refugee who lived in the departure lounge of Terminal One in Charles de Gaulle Airport from 8 August 1988 until July 2006, when he was hospitalized for an unspecified ailment. His autobiography has been published as a book and he may have been the basis for the movie *The Terminal*.

edit this page

history

Contents [hide]

1 Early life

article

- 2 Current position
- 3 Life in Terminal 1
- 4 Documentaries and fictionalizations
- 5 See also
- 6 References
- 7 External links

Mehran Karimi Nasseri

Born

مهران كريمي ناصري Mehran Karimi Nasseri 1942 (age 67–68) Masjed Soleiman, Iran

Early life

[edit]

Nasseri was born in the Anglo-Persian Oil Company settlement located in Masjed Soleiman, Iran. His father was an Iranian physician working for the company. Nasseri stated that his mother was a nurse from Scotland working in the same place.^[1] He arrived in the United Kingdom in September 1973, to take a three-year course in Yugoslav studies at the University of Bradford.

Current position

[edit]

Nasseri was expelled from Iran in 1977 for protests against the Shah and after a long battle, involving applications in several countries, was awarded refugee status by the United Nations High Commission for refugees in Belgium. This permitted residence in any European country.

Having claimed to have one British parent, although he has produced no evidence to support this, he